

1.1.2  
no Date 

Pg 43 → "levels far less than the so ppm which marks the lowest level at which an oil is considered contaminated"

the case for no problem with PCBs is overstated - same for VOC on page 51.

Pg 44 → what are the units?

why is

Pg 46, 47 → Why compare shallow & deep subsurface soils? What does it mean? Why not compare site to background?  
Want there a TUB control?

Pg 48 → Meth chloride & acetone not included in table because levels measured indicate lab contamination → what was in blanks compared to samples

[ Pg 51 → These compounds are ubiquitous in drinking water in industrialized areas  
What??? i.e. trichlor, tetrachlor, benzene, etc.

Pg 51/52 → VOC concentrations could decrease to below 5 mg/l less than 50' downgradient -  
maybe ET3 didn't pick up the max levels.

Section 6.4 → Report appears to get carried away on fates & meanings of contaminants  
found in the gw.

Pg 53 → Becht may be the result of lab induced contamination of the sample -  
what about blank?

Pg. 60 → In every case concentrations in TUB wells increased between '82 & '85.

TRICHLOR FOUND IN 3 WELLS 65, 480 & 164 ppb which is 15, 100 & 40 times std.

CHROMIUM, LTD, BARIUM & MANGANESE FOUND ABOVE SDGS.

OTHER ORGANIC & INORGANIC COMPOUNDS FOUND IN GW & CAVES ARE RISING

